On Time Variations of Gravitational and Yang-Mills Constants in a Cosmological Model of Superstring Origin

V. D. Ivashchuk [1] **and V. N. Melnikov** [2],

Center for Gravitation and Fundamental Metrology, VNIIMS, Ozyornaya 46, Moscow 119361, Russia and
Institute of Gravitation and Cosmology, Peoples' Friendship University of Russia, Miklukho-Maklaya 6, Moscow 117198, Russia

arXiv:1401.5491v1 [gr-qc] 21 Jan 2014

Abstract

In the framework of 10-dimensional "Friedmann-Calabi-Yau" cosmology of superstring origin we show that the time variation of either Newton's gravitational constant or Yang-Mills one is unavoidable in the present epoch.

1 Introduction

The idea of time variations of Newton's gravitational constant originally proposed by Dirac [1] (for a review see [2]) acquired more importance with the appearance of superstring theories [3, 4].

The present experimental constraints on time variations of the gravitational constant have the form

$$|\dot{G}/(GH)| < 0.001, \tag{1}$$

where H is the Hubble parameter, see [5] and references therein.

Here we consider the "Friedman-Calabi-Yau" (FCY) cosmology based on the ten-dimensional $SO(32)$ or $E_8 \times E_8$ Yang-Mills supergravity theory [6] with a Lorentz Chern-Simons three-form, introduced by Green and Schwarz [3] for anomaly cancellation, and with the Gauss-Bonnet term, introduced in [7, 8]. These additional terms are of superstring origin [9]: they appear as the next to leading terms in the α' decomposition (α' is the string parameter) of the Fradkin-Tseytlin effective action [10] for a heterotic string [4] (see [11]). The supergravity action is a leading term in this decomposition.

In the open-universe case of FCY cosmology with dust matter $\rho > 0$, $p_3 = p_6 = 0$ ($\rho > 0$ is the density and p_3, p_6 are pressures, see (12) below) and a constant dilaton field, $\varphi(t) = \text{const}$, Wu and Wang calculated the present value of $\dot{G}/G$ [12] and obtained the estimate

$$(\dot{G}/G)_0 \approx -10^{-11\pm1}\ \text{yr}^{-1}. \tag{2}$$

This asymptotic relation was explained and generalized in [13], by simply using the equations of motion for a multidimensional cosmological model with anisotropic fluid, which describe the asymptotical behavior of FCY model with $\varphi = \text{const}$.

[1] e-mail: ivashchuk@mail.ru
[2] e-mail: melnikov@phys.msu.ru

Here we present a corrected and updated version of our old paper [14], which was devoted to the problem of variation of G in FCY cosmological model with the restrictions on the density $\rho > 0$ and 3-dimensional pressure $p_3 \geq 0$ imposed [12]. At that times such restrictions looked physical. Now, we consider the problem of simultaneous stability of the effective gravitational constant G and the effective Yang-Mills constant $g_{\rm GUT}$ of Grand Unification Theory (GUT), which arise in this $10D$ model. In what follows we do not put any restrictions on ρ, p_3 and p_6. We prove that in the FCY cosmology there are no solutions to equations of motion with a constant radius of internal space and a constant dilation field, which do not contradict the present accelerated expansion of our Universe [15, 16]. Hence in FCY cosmology a simultaneous stability of G and $g_{\rm GUT}$ is impossible in the present epoch.

2 The model

We take the action of the model as in [12]

$$S = \int d^{10}x\sqrt{-g}\left\{\frac{1}{2\kappa^2}R - \frac{3}{4}\kappa^2\varphi^{-3/2}H^2_{MNP} - \frac{9}{16\kappa^2}(\varphi^{-1}\partial_M\varphi)^2 \right.$$
$$\left. -\frac{1}{4}\varphi^{-3/4}\left[\frac{1}{30}{\rm tr}F^2_{MN} + (R^2_{MNPQ} - 4R^2_{MN} + R^2)\right]\right\} + S_F \ , \qquad (3)$$

where g_{MN} and φ are the metric and dilation fields, F_{MN} and H_{MNP} are the Yang-Mills and Kolb-Ramond field strengths

$$F = \frac{1}{2}F_{MN}dx^M \wedge dx^N = dA + A \wedge A,$$

where $A = A_M dx^M$ is the one-form with the value in the Lie algebra ad $\hat{g}$, $\hat{g} = so(32)$, $e_8 \oplus e_8$ (ad$\hat{g}$ is the image of the adjoint representation of $\hat{g}$, ad$\hat{g} \approx \hat{g}$ for any semi-simple Lie algebra $\hat{g}$);

$$H = \frac{1}{3!}H_{MNP}dx^M \wedge dx^N \wedge dx^P = dB - \omega_{3Y} + \omega_{3L} \ , \qquad (4)$$

where $B = \frac{1}{2}B_{MN}dx^M \wedge dx^N$ is a two-form, ω_{3Y} is the Yang-Mills Chern-Simons three-form,

$$\omega_{3Y} = \frac{1}{30}{\rm tr}(A \wedge F - \frac{1}{3}A \wedge A \wedge A), \qquad (5)$$

and ω_{3L} is the Lorentz Chern-Simons three-form,

$$\omega_{3L} = {\rm tr}(\omega \wedge \Omega - \frac{1}{3}\omega \wedge \omega \wedge \omega). \qquad (6)$$

In (6) $\omega = \omega_M dx^M$ is the spin connection, which is a one-form with the value in $so(1,9)$:

$$\omega_M = || \ \omega^A_{BM} \ || = || \ e^A_N \nabla_M e^N_B \ || \subset so(1,9),$$

e^A_N is the basis (zehnbein) of vector fields which diagonalizes the metric

$$g_{MN} = e^A_M e^B_N \eta_{AB},$$

$\eta_{AB} = \mathrm{diag}(-1,\ +1,\ ...,\ +1)$, Ω is the curvature two-form:

$$\Omega = d\omega + \omega \wedge \omega.$$

In (3) κ^2 is the 10-dimensional gravitational constant and S_F is the Fermi part of the action [6]. Here we deal with pure bosonic solutions to equations of motion (i.e., with zero Fermi fields) and hence an explicit relation for S_F is irrelevant for our consideration.

The action (3) and the energy-momentum tensor T_{MN} lead to the following equations of motions (see [12] for $\varphi = \mathrm{const}$):

$$\begin{aligned} R_{MN} - \frac{1}{2} g_{MN} R = \frac{9}{2}\kappa^4 \varphi^{-3/2}\Big(H_{MPQ} H_N{}^{PQ} - \frac{1}{6} g_{MN} H^2_{PQS} \Big) \\ +9\kappa^4 \nabla^S (\varphi^{-3/2} H_{MPQ} R_{SN}{}^{PQ}) + \frac{9}{8}\varphi^{-2}\Big[\partial_M \varphi \partial_N \varphi - \frac{1}{2} g_{MN} (\partial_P \varphi)^2 \Big] \\ +\frac{1}{30}\kappa^2 \varphi^{-3/4}\Big(\mathrm{tr} F_{MP} F_N{}^P - \frac{1}{4} g_{MN}\ \mathrm{tr} F^2_{PQ} \Big) \\ -\frac{1}{2}\kappa^2 \varphi^{-3/4}\Big[\frac{1}{2} g_{MN} (R^2_{PQST} - 4R^2_{PQ} + R^2) - 2R R_{MN} \\ +4R_{MP} R_N{}^P + 4R_{MPNQ} R^{PQ} - 2R_M{}^{PQS} R_{NPQS} \Big] + \kappa^2 T_{MN} + D_{MN}, \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

$$\nabla_M (\varphi^{-3/2} H^{MNP}) = 0, \tag{8}$$

$$D_M (\varphi^{3/4} F^{MP}) + 9\kappa^2 (\varphi^{-3/2} F_{MN} H^{MNP}) = 0, \tag{9}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 6\nabla_M (\varphi^{-2} \partial^M \varphi) + 6\varphi^{-3} (\partial_M \varphi)^2 + 6\kappa^4 \varphi^{-5/2} H^2_{MNP} \\ +\kappa^2 \varphi^{-7/4} \left[\frac{1}{30} \mathrm{tr} F^2_{MN} + (R^2_{MNPQ} - 4R^2_{MN} + R^2) \right] = 0\ . \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

In (7) D_{MN} is a term with derivatives of the dilaton field which appears from the variation of the Gauss-Bonnet term. This D-term vanishes for $\varphi = \mathrm{const}$.

Let us consider the ten-dimensional manifold

$$M^{10} = R \times M^3_k \times K, \tag{11}$$

where $M^3_k = S^3,\ R^3,\ L^3$ for $k = +1, 0, -1$, respectively, and K is a Calaby-Yau manifold, i.e., a compact 6-dimensional Kähler Ricci-flat manifold with the $SU(3)$ holonomy group.

Let the energy-momentum tensor be

$$T = T_{MN} dx^M \otimes dx^N = \rho(t) dt \otimes dt + p_3(t) a_3^2(t) g^{(3)} + p_6(t) a_6^2(t) g^{(6)}\ , \tag{12}$$

where $g^{(3)}$ and $g^{(6)}$ are metrics on M^3_k and K, $\rho(t)$ is the energy density, $p_3(t)$ and $p_6(t)$ are pressures corresponding to M^3_k and K.

The system (7)-(10) on the manifold (11) with the source (12) and the following ansatz

$$g^{(10)} = -dt \otimes dt + a_3^2(t) g^{(3)} + a_6^2(t) g^{(6)}, \tag{13}$$

$$H = 0, \tag{14}$$

$$\varphi = \varphi(t), \tag{15}$$

$$A = \mathrm{ad}(i(\omega^{(6)})). \tag{16}$$

leads to a cosmology model, which we call the "Friedman-Calaby-Yau" (FCY) cosmology. In (16) $\omega^{(6)}$ is the spin connection on K corresponding to the basis $e^{(6)\alpha}$, which diagonalizes $g^{(6)}$; $i : so(6) \to \hat{g}$ is the enclosure of the Lie algebra $so(6)$ (in the case $\hat{g} = e_8 \oplus e_8$, i may be defined, for example, with the aid of the decomposition [4]: $e_8 = so(16) \oplus V_{128}$). It follows from (16) that

$$F = \mathrm{ad}(i(\Omega^{(6)})), \tag{17}$$

where $\Omega^{(6)} = d\omega^{(6)} + \omega^{(6)} \wedge \omega^{(6)}$.

From (16) and the trace identity

$$\frac{1}{30}\mathrm{tr}(\mathrm{ad}(i(X))\mathrm{ad}(i(Y))) = \mathrm{tr}(XY) \tag{18}$$

for all $X, Y \in so(6)$, we have

$$\omega_{3Y} = \frac{1}{30}\mathrm{tr}\Big(\frac{2}{3}A \wedge F + \frac{1}{3}A \wedge dA\Big) = \mathrm{tr}\Big(\frac{2}{3}\omega^{(6)} \wedge \Omega^{(6)} + \frac{1}{3}\omega^{(6)} \wedge d\omega^{(6)}\Big) = \omega^{(6)}_{3L}. \tag{19}$$

It can be verified that in the basis $(e^{(10)A}) = (dt,\ a_3(t)e^{(3)a},\ a_6(t)e^{(6)\alpha})$, where $e^{(3)a}$ is the basis on M^3_k diagonalizing $g^{(3)}$, we obtain

$$\omega_{3L} = \omega^{(3)}_{3L} + \omega^{(6)}_{3L} + f_3, \tag{20}$$

where $df_3 = 0$ and

$$\omega^{(3)}_{3L} = \mathrm{tr}(\omega^{(3)} \wedge \Omega^{(3)} - \frac{1}{3}\omega^{(3)} \wedge \omega^{(3)} \wedge \omega^{(3)}),$$

$\omega^{(3)}$ is the spin connection on M^3_k corresponding to $e^{(3)a}$. From (4), (19) and (20) we have

$$H = dB + \omega^{(3)}_{3L} + f_3. \tag{21}$$

It follows from (21) and $d\omega^{(3)}_{3L} = df_3 = 0$ that for every domain $\Omega \subset M^{10}$ with $H^3(\Omega, R) = 0$ there is some B such that $H = 0$.

The spin connection $\omega^{(6)}$ on K obeys the identity

$$D_m(\omega^{(6)})\Omega^{(6)mn} = 0, \tag{22}$$

where $D_m(\omega) = \nabla_m + [\omega_m, .]$. The identity (22) is equivalent to

$$\nabla^{(6)}_m R^{(6)mnpq} = 0$$

and is valid for any Kähler Ricci-flat manifold [17]. Equation (9) is satisfied identically due to (22), (13)-(16) and (17) ($D_M = D_M(A) = \nabla_M + [A_M, .]$); (8) is satisfied owing to (14).

Now we put

$$a_6(t) = \mathrm{const}, \qquad \varphi(t) = \mathrm{const}. \tag{23}$$

What is the reason for the restrictions (23)? For the cosmology under consideration the effective $4D$ gravitational constant reads

$$G = \text{const} \cdot a_6^{-6}(t). \tag{24}$$

while the effective $4D$ Yang-Mills constant has the following form

$$g_{\rm GUT} = \text{const} \cdot a_6^{-3}(t)\varphi^{3/8}(t). \tag{25}$$

This follows from the action (3) and the ansatz (13) for the metric. It is obvious that the stability of G and $g_{\rm GUT}$ is equivalent to the condition (23). Thus we are interested in solutions with stable effective constants G and $g_{\rm GUT}$.

Then, equations (7) and (10) in the ansatz (13)-(16) may be rewritten as follows [14]:

$$3a_3^{-2}(k + \dot{a}_3^2) = \kappa^2\rho, \tag{26}$$

$$a_3^{-2}(k + \dot{a}_3^2 + 2a_3\ddot{a}_3) = -\kappa^2 p_3, \tag{27}$$

$$a_3^{-2}(k + \dot{a}_3^2 + a_3\ddot{a}_3) = -(1/3)p_6 + 2\kappa^2\varphi^{-3/4}a_3^{-3}\ddot{a}_3(k + \dot{a}_3^2), \tag{28}$$

$$4\kappa^2\varphi^{1/4}a_3^{-3}\ddot{a}_3(k + \dot{a}_3^2) = 0. \tag{29}$$

Here $24a_3^{-3}\ddot{a}_3(k + \dot{a}_3^2) = GB^{(4)}$ is the Gauss-Bonnet term corresponding to the 4-dimensional part of the metric.

Equations (26)-(28) and (29) are obtained from (7) and (10), respectively, using the Ricci flatness of K and the equality

$$R^{(6)}_{pqmn}R^{(6)qp'mn} = \frac{1}{30}\text{tr } F_{mn}F_{pq}g^{(6)mp'}g^{(6)nq},$$

which follows from (17), (18) and the relation

$$R^{(6)p}{}_{qmn} = e^{(6)p}_{\alpha}e^{(6)\beta}_{q}\Omega^{(6)\alpha}{}_{\beta mn}\ .$$

Due to (7)-(10) we get

$$\nabla_M T^{MN} = 0. \tag{30}$$

Relation (30) with the substitution of (12), (13) and (23) is equivalent to

$$\dot{\rho} + 3a_3^{-1}\dot{a}_3(\rho + p_3) = 0. \tag{31}$$

3 Solutions with constant a_6 and φ.

It follows from (29) that

$$\ddot{a}_3(k + \dot{a}_3^2) = 0. \tag{32}$$

(**A.**) Consider the case $\ddot{a}_3 \neq 0$. Then we get from (32)

$$k + \dot{a}_3^2 = 0 \tag{33}$$

which implies either $\dot{a}_3 = 0$ for $k = 0$ or $\dot{a}_3 = \pm 1$ for $k = -1$. In both cases we get $\ddot{a}_3 = 0$, which contradicts our suggestion $\ddot{a}_3 \neq 0$.

(**B.**) Now we put $\ddot{a}_3 = 0$. Then we obtain $\dot{a}_3 = C$ and $a_3 = Ct + a_0$, where C and a_0 are constants. First we consider the case (33) which implies either $C = 0$ for $k = 0$ or $C = \pm 1$ for $k = -1$. For the density and pressures we have

$$\rho = 0, \qquad p_3 = 0, \qquad p_6 = 0. \tag{34}$$

For $k = 0$, $C = 0$, we get a static configuration [8]. For $k = -1$, we obtain $C = \pm 1$, the 4-dimensional part of the metric is flat. It is the well-known Milne solution which is isomorphic to the upper light cone (for $t > t_0$, $a_0 = -Ct_0$) in the Minkowski space. Thus we also obtain a part of a static configuration in this case.

Consider the case $k + C^2 \neq 0$. We obtain

$$\rho \neq 0, \qquad p_3 = -\rho/3, \qquad p_6 = -\rho. \tag{35}$$

From (26) we get

$$\rho = \rho_0 a_3^{-2}, \tag{36}$$

where $\kappa^2 \rho_0 = 3(k + C^2) \neq 0$.

Thus, in the FCY cosmology there are no solutions to the equations of motion obeying (23), or equivalently, with stable constants G and $g_{\rm GUT}$, which are compatible with the modern cosmological data [15, 16]

$$\dot{a}_3 > 0, \qquad \ddot{a}_3 > 0. \tag{37}$$

4 Conclusions

We have shown that in the framework of $10D$ "Friedmann-Calabi-Yau" cosmology of superstring origin the simultaneous stability of Newton's gravitational constant G and Yang-Mills constant g_{GUT} is impossible in the present epoch. Thus one of the constants should be varying.

We note that the Yang-Mills running constant $g_{\rm GUT}(\mu)$ ($\mu^2 = q^2$, where q is $4D$ momentum) is used for generating the running constants for electromagnetic, weak and strong interactions, i.e., $\alpha(\mu)$, $\alpha_w(\mu)$ $\alpha_s(\mu)$, respectively [18, 19]. The temporal stability of $g_{\rm GUT}(\mu)$ implies the temporal stability of the constants $\alpha(\mu)$, $\alpha_w(\mu)$ and $\alpha_s(\mu)$ for fixed μ.

An open problem here is to find exact solutions for a FCY cosmological model with small enough variations of G and α obeying modern observational restrictions. (Multidimensional cosmological models with small enough variations of G were considered previously in [20, 21, 22, 23] while variations of α and G were obtained recently in [24, 25, 26] in the framework of nonlinear multidimensional gravity.) This can be a subject of a separate study.

References

[1] P.A.M. Dirac, *Nature (London)* **139**, 323 (1937).

[2] V.N. Melnikov and K.P. Stanjukovich, Hydrodinamics, fields and constants in the theory of gravitation (Energoatoizdat, Moscow, 1983) (in Russian).

[3] J.H. Schwarz, *Phys. Rep.* **89**, 223 (1982);
M.B. Green, *Surv. High Energy Phys.* **3**, 127 (1983);
M.B. Green and J.H. Schwarz, *Phys. Lett.* **B 149**, 117 (1984).

[4] D.J. Gross, J.A. Harvey, E. Martinec and R. Rohm, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **54**, 502 (1984); *Nucl. Phys.* **B 256**, 253 (1986).

[5] E.V. Piteva, *Astron. Lett.* **31**, 340 (2005).
E.V. Pit'eva, In: Proc. of the Workshop on Precision Physics and Fundamental Physical Constants, December 1-4, 2009, JINR, Dubna, Russia, p. 53.

[6] A.H. Chamseddine, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 185**, 403 (1981);
E. Bergshoeff, M. de Roo, B. de Witt and P. Nieuwenhuizen, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 15**, 97 (1982);
G.F. Chapline and N.S. Manton, *Phys. Lett.* **B 120**, 105 (1983).

[7] B. Zwiebach, *Phys. Lett.* **B 156**, 315 (1985).

[8] P. Candelas, G.T. Horowitz, A. Strominger and E. Witten, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 256**, 46 (1985).

[9] D.J. Gross and E. Witten, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 277**, 1 (1986).

[10] E.S. Fradkin and A.A. Tseytlin, *Phys. Lett.* **B 158**, 316 (1985); *Nucl. Phys.* **B 261**, 1 (1986).

[11] R.R. Metsaev and A.A. Tseytlin, *Phys. Lett.* **B 185**, 52 (1987).

[12] Y.-S. Wu and Z. Wang, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **B 57**, 1978 (1986).

[13] K.A. Bronnikov, V.D. Ivashchuk and V.N. Melnikov, *Nuovo Cimento* **B 102**, 209-215 (1988).

[14] V.D. Ivashchuk and V.N. Melnikov, *Nuovo Cimento* **B 102**, 131-138 (1988).

[15] A.G. Riess *et al*, *AJ* **116**, 1009 (1998).

[16] S. Perlmutter *et al*, *ApJ* **517**, 565 (1999).

[17] L. Witten and E. Witten, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 281**, 109 (1987).

[18] W.J. Marciano, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **52**, 489 (1984).

[19] V.D. Ivashchuk and V.N. Melnikov, *Izmerit. Tekhnika* **7**, 3-6 (1986) (in Russian).

[20] H. Dehnen, V.D. Ivashchuk, S.A. Kononogov and V.N. Melnikov, *Grav. Cosmol.* **11**, No. 4, 340-344 (2005); gr-qc/0602108.

[21] J.-M. Alimi, V.D. Ivashchuk, S.A. Kononogov and V.N. Melnikov, *Grav. Cosmol.* **12**, No. 2-3, 173-178 (2006); gr-qc/0611015.

[22] V.D. Ivashchuk, S.A. Kononogov, V.N. Melnikov and M. Novello, *Grav. Cosmol.* **12**, No. 4, 273-278 (2006); hep-th/0610167.

[23] A.A. Golubtsova, *Grav. Cosmol.* **16**, No. 4, 298-306 (2010).

[24] K.A. Bronnikov and M.V. Skvortsova, *Grav. Cosmol.* **19**, No. 2, 114-123 (2013).

[25] K.A. Bronnikov, V.N. Melnikov, S.G. Rubin and I.V. Svadkovsky, *Gen. Relat. and Grav.* **45**, No. 12, 2509-2528 (2013).

[26] K.A. Bronnikov, S.A. Kononogov and V.N. Mel'nikov, *Measur. Techn.* **56**, No 1, 8-16 (2013).